



## Ag Water NetWORK

8833 Ralston Road

Arvada, CO 80002

303.431.6422

[info@coloradocattle.org](mailto:info@coloradocattle.org)

[www.coloradocattle.org](http://www.coloradocattle.org)

### Water and Agriculture: Valuing our Essential Resources

Phil Brink, CCA Ag Water NetWORK Consulting Coordinator  
Greg Peterson, Colorado Ag Water Alliance Executive Director

April 2020

#### Part 1 in a 2-part Series

This summer, like every summer, a cornucopia of Colorado grown foods will appear at grocery stores and farmers markets across the state. Coloradoans will once again be biting into juicy Palisade peaches and enjoying ripe Rocky Ford cantaloupe. What is the primary ingredient of these delicious products? Water. Cantaloupe and peaches are about 90 percent water. Those golden kernels of savory Olathe sweet corn? About 72 percent.

It takes a lot of water to grow food – but the amount that ends up in the food itself is a small part of the story. More than 99 percent of the water used by irrigated crops or turf is drawn through the roots and transpired through the leaves.



Image courtesy of Northern Water

Only about a tenth of one percent (0.1%) of the water taken up by plants is actually used to produce plant tissue.<sup>1</sup> In other words, crops use a lot of water to conduct photosynthesis and manage heat stress.

Evapotranspiration (ET) is the term used to describe the water used by plants. Evapotranspiration is the water loss occurring from the processes of evaporation and transpiration. Evapotranspirative losses are determined by the combination of solar radiation, temperature, humidity and wind.<sup>2</sup>

In Colorado, irrigation water rights are based on the historic ET of the crops grown plus any transit water that has historically been needed to deliver the water from the source to the field. The term “consumptive use” (CU) describes the measure of an irrigation water right, and includes these two elements. Crop water use, consumptive use and evapotranspiration (ET) are terms used interchangeably to describe the water consumed by a crop.<sup>3</sup>

## If farmers use more efficient irrigation methods, won't they use less water?

Efficiency is often confused with conservation. Efficiency refers to the amount of water applied compared with the amount of water used by plants. If 100 acre-feet of water is applied to a field over a growing season and the crop consumptive use is 50 acre-feet, then the system is 50 percent efficient. The remaining half runs off the end of the rows and percolates down below the root zone. Surface and subsurface flows coming off flood and furrow irrigated fields may recharge groundwater, support stream flows, and be used by others downgradient - including other farmers, municipalities, industries, and domestic well owners. This practice enables multiple uses of water within basin systems and increases the water supply for aquatic life and downstream users in the latter part of the irrigation season.<sup>4</sup> In many basins in the West, total diversions vastly exceed total flows in the river, which demonstrates the multi-use aspect of return flows.

Irrigation efficiency is not included as a variable affecting plant ET. Greater irrigation efficiency does not mean lower total crop water use. In fact, improved irrigation efficiency may *increase* crop consumptive use. A more efficient irrigation method typically delivers water to crops in a more uniform and timely manner, creating conditions that optimize plant growth and yield, resulting in correspondingly higher evapotranspiration.



Image: Phil Brink

Other efficiency improvements like lining ditches and canals, may allow an irrigator to divert less water, but will negatively impact return flows. Those same lining projects may also harm flora that grow along ditches and canals. Some of these plants we value for their aesthetics and habitat while others can be an invasive nuisance. The typical end result of improved irrigation delivery efficiency is that non-consumptive use water is not 'saved,' it is redistributed away from return flows back to the river where it can be diverted by other water right holders.

# Water and Agriculture: Valuing our Essential Resources

## Part 2 in a 2-part Series

A February 19, 2020 article in Water Education Colorado indicated that “Colorado’s ag water use has not changed, remaining almost exactly where it was 17 years ago, according to the USDA’s Irrigation and Water Management Survey.” Given the realities of plant ET rates, it is not surprising current crop consumptive use remains on par with historic consumptive use even though many acres have been equipped with more efficient irrigation systems (Figure 1).

### Does water diverted equal water used?

It is a common misconception that the amount of water diverted or applied to crops equals the amount of water used by the crops. In the absence of 100 percent irrigation efficiency, the amount diverted or applied is always more than the amount used by crops. For agricultural water use and water rights, the historic consumptive use is the key number.


Water diverted but not considered essential to accomplish delivery from the point of diversion to the field is not part of the water right associated with the field. Likewise, water applied on a field that is not used to meet plant ET requirements is not part of the water right.

Only ET and essential transit water comprise an irrigation water right. The unused portion constitutes some else’s water right in fully appropriated basins.

Using less water on farms either means ‘buy and dry’ - which results in the permanent loss of irrigated farmland - or a temporary measure that reduces farm water consumptive use. The three water use reduction measures – or ‘alternative transfer mechanisms’ - being discussed in Colorado are rotational fallowing, crop switching and deficit irrigation.

The goal of all temporary water saving methods is to ‘free up’ water that would have otherwise been consumed by crops and lease that water for other purposes – such as municipal use – or to fill reservoirs in anticipation of drier times. There are multiple challenges associated with any

**Figure 1. Irrigation Type Distribution; Divisions 1, 2 & 3 Combined**



Source: CDSS, CWCB / DWR


chart: P. Brink

crop water use saving strategy, including quantifying the reduction in crop consumptive use and determining how to prevent injury to other water right holders.

A substantial loss of irrigated farmland has occurred in Colorado (Figure 2) in conjunction with population growth, and more will occur. The Colorado – Big Thompson (C-BT) provides an example. In the late 1930's when the project began, 97 percent of the water was allocated to farms. Today, only about 30 percent of C-BT shares remain under agricultural ownership. The balance – 70 percent – is owned by the Municipal and Industrial (M & I) sector.<sup>5</sup>

The M & I sector leases some of the water they have purchased back to farmers until the water is needed to support additional M & I growth. So even without additional water sales, more land in the South Platte River Basin will be dried up in the future. Similar irrigated agricultural land loss trends are seen in other parts of the state.

Growing and consuming food locally creates a more efficient supply chain and connects growers with consumers. It is also crucial to maintaining Colorado's food security. A Colorado Department of Agriculture survey found almost 85 percent of Coloradoans agree that supporting local food systems is important, and 95 percent feel maintaining land and water in agricultural production is important.<sup>6</sup> Preserving irrigated agricultural land is vital to preserving Colorado's ability to feed itself, and to providing the open spaces, wildlife habitat, and beautiful rural vistas we cherish.



Source: CDA statistical bulletins

G. Peterson

References:

1. Understanding Plant Water Use: Evapotranspiration [www.coagmet.colostate.edu/extended\\_etr\\_about.php](http://www.coagmet.colostate.edu/extended_etr_about.php)
2. *ibid.*
3. Fact Sheet No. 4.718, CSU Extension.
4. Completion Report No. 190, Colorado Water Resources Research Institute
5. Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District; Greg Dewey.
6. 2016 Public Attitudes about Agriculture in Colorado. Colorado Dept. of Agriculture